

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests substantive examination of the application in view of the following remarks.

In response to the requirement to restrict prosecution to a single invention under 35 USC 121 & 372 and PCT rule 13.1, applicant hereby elects the invention of group I consisting of claims 1-6, 20, 23, 29, 37, 38, Applicant traverses the requirement because claims 1, 23, and 38 are linking claims that link all the inventions of all the claims so that they can not be restricted.

In response to the requirement for an election of species under PCT rule 13.1-2, as far as applicant understands the requirement, applicant hereby elects the species wherein the second optical element is a wire grid polarizer. Applicant believes that at least claims 1-12, 15-26 and 27-38 read on the species. Applicant believes that claims 1-10, 16-26 and 29-38 are generic to all the species and notes that if any of the generic claims are allowable then all the species should be considered in this application.

The elected claims are in condition for allowance and applicant respectfully requests allowance of all the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Michael E. Belk/

Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Senior Attorney
(914) 333-9643